Eunice Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by average of school grades in the dis For a description of status see page	trict.	Grade D
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	1	33.3
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

tudent Demogra	phics			
	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	729	100	337,054	100
Female	346	48	164,148	49
Male	383	53	172,906	51
Caucasian	293	40	84,518	25
African-American	9	1	7,530	2
Hispanic	426	58	204,866	61
Asian	1	0	4,489	1
Pacific Islander	0	0	509	0
American Indian	0	0	35,142	10
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0
ED	438	60	231,830	69
SWD	94	13	48,479	14
ELL	66	9	51,895	15
Migrant	0	0	458	0
Recently Arrived	7	1	19,389	6
	Soul	ce: LEA 12	Oth day submission to	the PED

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Caton Middle	D	Eunice High	В
Mettie Jordan Elementary	F *		

Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

				Profic	cient or Or	n Target 1	to Proficie	ncy				Gro	wth	
	Target (%)	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic		Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Targe (SS/Y		Targe (SS/Yi	
Caton Middle														
Reading	61	46.7 ×	51.7 🗶		44.6 🗶			46.6 🗴			2.5	1.8 🗴	0.25	-0.2 🗴
Mathematics	55	24.8 🔀	35.0 ×		19.8 🗴			22.4 😕			2.2	0.7 😕	0.15	-0.5 🗶
Attendance	92	96.5 ✓	95.6 ✓		97.0 ✓			96.8 🗸	95.8 🗸	97.6 ✓				
Eunice High														
Reading	61	37.6 ×	47.4 ×		31.8 🗴			37.8 🗴			2.5	-2.8 ×	0.25	-2.3 🗴
Mathematics	55	32.9 🗴	39.5 🗴		27.3 🗴			35.6 🗴			2.2	-0.7 🗴	0.15	-1.0 🗴
Attendance	92	95.6 ✓	95.0 ✓		95.9 ✓			95.7 🗸	96.2 🗸	97.1 🗸				
Graduation	73.7	70.1 🛎	63.0 🗴		77.9 🗸			62.9 🗶						
LEA Current														
Reading	61	41.4 ×	46.1 ×		38.8 🗴			39.7 🗴	12.2 🗴	17.1 🗴	2.5	0.2 😕	0.25	-1.2 🖊
Mathematics	55	27.5 🗴	35.3 🗴		22.1 🛰			25.0 🗴	12.2 🗴	9.8 🗴	2.2	-0.5 😕	0.15	-1.2 🖊
Attendance	92	95.7 ✓	95.4 ✓		95.9 🗸			95.8 🗸	95.0 🗸	96.7 ✓				
Graduation	73.7	69.7 ×	63.0 🗴		77.9 🗸			62.9 🗴						
Mettie Jordan	Elemen	tary												
Reading	61	38.2 🗴	40.6 🗴		35.8 🗴			33.3 🗴	0.0 🗴		2.5	0.3 🗴	0.25	-1.6 🖊
Mathematics	55	27.3 🗴	33.3 🗴		22.1 🛰			23.4 🗴	0.0 🗴		2.2	-1.7 🗴	0.15	-2.0 🖊
Attendance	92	95.4 🗸	95.5 ✓		95.4 🗸			95.4 🗸	94.4 🗸	96.2 ✓				
Blanks indicate too fe	w students	to report (N<10)							Sou	ırce: PED Data	Planning	and Analysis E	Bureau	

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

			Re	ading			Mathe	ematics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc	y Level (%	.)		Proficienc	y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade	2	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	5	40	33	23	<2	35	47	19				
3	LEA Prior	4	44	27	25	<2	33	50	17				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	4	33	49	14	4	32	37	28	4	33	37	26
Eunic	e Municipal Schools						Pag	je 2 of 6		School Di	strict Repo	ort Card 20	013-2014

			Re	ading			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient			y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%)		Proficienc	y Level (%)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade		4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
4	LEA Prior	16	18	53	14	4	22	49	25	8	31	35	25
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	3	31	40	26	3	12	32	52				
5	LEA Prior	4	25	55	16	<2	12	50	38				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current	6	29	49	16	2	22	39	37				
6	LEA Prior	3	31	51	15	2	23	52	23				
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	3	44	36	17	5	24	50	21	5	24	45	26
7	LEA Prior	<2	27	56	18	<2	20	53	27	2	22	44	31
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	2	42	35	21	2	15	58	25				
8	LEA Prior	<2	48	29	23	<2	31	42	27				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	4	33	33	29	<2	33	62	4				
10	LEA Prior	<2	26	43	31	<2	19	64	17				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current	3	35	55	8	<2	33	63	5	<2	35	53	13
11	LEA Prior	2	46	48	4	4	35	50	10	<2	29	48	23

Achievemen	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup													
				Rea	ding		N	lather	natics	;		Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient		Pro	Proficiency Level (%)			Proficiency Level (%)				Proficiency Level (%)			
	Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
All Students		LEA	4	36	41	19	2	25	47	26	3	30	45	23
Female		LEA	5	35	44	16	2	23	48	27	4	24	43	29
Male		LEA	2	37	39	22	2	26	47	25	2	35	46	17
Caucasian		LEA	4	40	44	12	2	32	45	21	6	38	45	11
African American		LEA												
Hispanic		LEA	3	33	40	24	2	20	49	29	<2	24	44	31
Economically Disac	dvantaged	LEA	3	34	40	23	2	22	44	32	2	26	46	26
Students w Disabil	ities	LEA	5	5	27	63	5	7	15	73	7	7	43	43
English Language L	nglish Language Learners, Current LEA		2	10	39	49	2	7	44	46	<2	<2	54	46
Q1	LEA LEA		2	4	38	56	<2	<2	32	67	<2	<2	14	86
Q3		LEA	4	48	42	6	3	34	53	11	4	40	55	<2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School											
	Reading	Mathematics	Science								
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)								
Level 2=Nearing Proficient	Level Level Level	Level Level Leve	Level Level Level								
Eunice Municipal Schools	3 3 1	Page 3 of 6 Scho	ool District Report Card 2013-2014								

Lever 1=Beginning Step	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
Caton Middle	4	39	40	18	3	21	49	27	4	24	46	25
Eunice High	4	34	44	19	<2	33	62	5	<2	35	53	13
Mettie Jordan Elementary	4	34	41	21	2	25	38	35	4	33	37	26

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data.

Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$5,140,670	33.8
Central Services	\$182,298	1.2
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$3,708,912	24.4
Food Services	\$300,477	2.0
General Administration	\$238,536	1.6
Instruction	\$3,667,412	24.1
Instruction Support Services	\$81,436	0.5
Operations & Maintenance	\$962,766	6.3
Other Support Services	\$3,964	0.0
School Administration	\$315,772	2.1
Student Support Services	\$430,921	2.8
Student Transportation	\$191,272	1.3
	Source: The PED School	Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points
David Gallegos		0
Dr Kenneth Reed		7
John Smith		0
Matt Coy		2
Richard Don Jones		9
	Source: NM School Boa	ard Association

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	80.7	73.5		88.5			69.2	72.6	90.5
Eunice High	80.7	73.5		88.5			69.2	72.6	90.5
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannin	g and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	81.2	89.0		74.5			72.3	90.0	83.8
Eunice High	81.2	89.0		74.5			72.3	90.0	83.8
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannin	g and Analys	sis Bureau

Graduation - 4 Year Cohort of 2013, Status of Non Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2013 but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Graduation Technical Guide on the PED website: http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation guides.html

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework but did not	Dropped out or whereabouts	Exit with intent to get GED or	Continuing high school enrollment
	pass exit exam %	unknown %	vocational credential %	past 4th year %
State Current	<2.0	20.8	7.3	8.0
LEA Current		28.8		

Eunice Municipal Schools Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 2013-2014

	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exit with intent to	Continuing high school
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
	%	%	%	%
Eunice High		27.6		
District district to a few standards to see at (N 40)			Course, DED Date	Diameter and Assetuate Diseases

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students	Cauc	Afr Amer	Hisp	Asian	Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
LEA Current	Eligible	37	19		18			13		
LEA Current	Enrolled, In-State	25	13		12					
LEA Current	Enrolled, Out-of-State	19			10					
LEA Current	Credits Earned	12								
Eunice High	Eligible	37	19		18			13		
Eunice High	Enrolled, In-State	25	13		12					
Eunice High	Enrolled, Out-of-State	19			10					
Eunice High	Credits Earned	12								
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)							Source: N	ational S	tudent Clea	ringhouse

Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)				5
Teacher Credentials				
			Statev	vide LEA
			%	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials				3 .0
Care Classes Not Tought by Highly Qualified Touchers	High Povert	y Schools	N.	A NA
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Povert	y Schools		6 7.1
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as h	nigh or low pove	rty		
Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers
Caton Middle	14	71.4	28.6	0
Eunice High	15	60	40	6.7
Mettie Jordan Elementary	24	58.3	41.7	0
* Does not include Below Bachelors				
Blank=no data available, or not applicable		So	urce: LEA 120th	day submission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	178	89	97	94	91	97	91	71	95	90	95
Caton Middle	4	75	25	100	100	100	75	50	75	75	100
Eunice High	15	92	93	80	100	100	77	57	92	93	79
Mettie Jordan Elementary	160	89	99	95	91	97	92	73	96	91	96
Source: PFD appropriate Source											

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Partic	ipation		
	Reading %	Math %	Science %
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th		Reading (Math (2	2013)		Science (2009)					
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29

8th	Reading (2011)					Math (2011)					Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic* %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %			
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43			
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36			

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blanks indicate too few students to report

[#] Rounds to zero