## **Eunice Municipal Schools**

## **School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by average of school grades in the dist For a description of status, see page	rict.	Grade C
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	1	33.3

## What are school grades?

**Schools in Reward Status** 

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

#### What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

#### What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

- LEA Demographic Profile
- Accountability
  - Summaries of School Grades
  - · Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)
  - $^{\circ}$  Status of Non-Graduates
- Achievement
  - $\circ$  Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science
  - NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8
- School Board Member Training
- Budgeted Expenditures
- Teacher Credentials
- Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

#### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Agency is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

#### Subgroups

0.0

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am: African American
Amer Indian: American Indian
Cauc: Caucasian

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students

in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of

students in reading or mathematics

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics									
	LEA State								
	Number	%	Number	%					
All Students	764	0.2	336,326	100.0					
Female	357	46.7	164,089	48.8					
Male	407	53.3	172,237	51.2					
Caucasian	281	36.8	81,394	24.2					
African American	8	1.0	7,600	2.3					
Hispanic	474	62.0	206,348	61.4					
Asian	0	0.0	4,457	1.3					
American Indian	1	0.1	35,884	10.7					
ED	554	72.5	249,348	74.1					
SWD	120	15.7	52,927	15.7					
ELL	87	11.4	45,669	13.6					
Migrant	0	0.0	428	0.1					
Recently Arrived	23	3.0	16,801	5.0					
	Source: LEA 12	0th-day	submission to th	e PED					

# **Accountability - School Grading and Status**

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

\*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2017 represented 685 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Caton Middle	С	Eunice High	С
Mettie Jordan Elementary	D *		

## **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade**

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Rea	ading	Mathe	matics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	27	73	30	70		
3	State Prior	25	75	30	70		
3	LEA Current	12	88	12	88		
3	LEA Prior	11	89	15	85		
4	State Current	26	74	23	77	40	60
4	State Prior	25	75	23	77	43	57
4	LEA Current	20	80	11	89	25	75
4	LEA Prior	25	75	24	76	55	45
5	State Current	30	70	24	76		
5	State Prior	25	75	26	74		
5	LEA Current	36	64	15	85		
5	LEA Prior	12	88	≤ 5	≥ 95		
6	State Current	26	74	20	80		
6	State Prior	24	76	20	80		
6	LEA Current	31	69	13	87		
6	LEA Prior	13	87	19	81		
7	State Current	27	73	17	83	45	55
7	State Prior	23	77	18	82	45	55
7	LEA Current	20	80	8	92	42	58
7	LEA Prior	21	79	8	92	68	32
		29	71	21	79		
8	State Current	26	74	20	80		
8	State Prior LEA Current	24	76	14	86		
8	LEA Current	32	68	6	94		
9	State Current	26	74	17	83 82		
9	State Prior	27 20	73 80	18 ≤ 5	82 ≥ 95		
9	LEA Current	15	85	≤ 5	≥ 95		
9	LEA Prior						
10	State Current	32	68	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	13	87		
10	LEA Current	17	83	12	88		
10	LEA Prior	24	76	≤ 5	≥ 95		
11	State Current	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	State Prior	45	55	10	90	39	61
11	LEA Current	30	70	≤ 10	≥ 90	25	75
11	LEA Prior	29	71	≤ 5	≥ 95	78	22
Blanks or m	issing rows indicate t	oo few students to re	port (N<10)				

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup										
		Rea	ding	Mathen	natics	Scien	ce			
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)			
All Students	State Current	37	63	20	80	40	60			
All Students	LEA Current	34	66	11	89	32	68			
Female	State Current	42	58	20	80	39	61			
Female	LEA Current	39	61	11	89	23	77			
Male	State Current	32	68	20	80	42	58			
Male	LEA Current	29	71	11	89	40	60			
Caucasian	State Current	52	48	33	67	61	39			
Caucasian	LEA Current	41	59	12	88	41	59			
African American	State Current	34	66	15	85	37	63			
African American	LEA Current	≤ 20	≥ 80							
Hispanic	State Current	33	67	16	84	34	66			
Hispanic	LEA Current	30	70	10	90	27	73			
Asian	State Current	61	39	50	50	66	34			
American Indian	State Current	26	74	11	89	22	78			
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	31	69	15	85	32	68			
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	30	70	10	90	32	68			
Students w Disabilities	State Current	19	81	9	91	18	82			
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	37	63	15	85	≤ 20	≥ 80			
English Language Learners, Current	State Current	20	80	10	90	16	84			
English Language Learners, Current	LEA Current	19	81	10	90	≤ 10	≥ 90			

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School									
	Re	ading	Mather	natics	Scier	nce			
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)			
Caton Middle	24	76	11	89	41	59			
Eunice High	22	78	9	91	27	73			
Mettie Jordan Elementary	43	57	12	88	25	75			
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested gra	ides 3 through 11 will i	not have data.			Source: PED /	Accountability Bureau			

## **Budgeted Expenditures**

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$7,002,990	37.0
Central Services	\$175,816	0.9
Community Services	\$0	0.0
Debt Service	\$4,044,854	21.4
Food Services	\$332,487	1.8
General Administration	\$300,255	1.6
Instruction	\$4,403,833	23.3
Instruction Support Services	\$100,739	0.5
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,315,461	7.0
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$447,609	2.4
Student Support Services	\$550,008	2.9
Student Transportation	\$241,317	1.3
	Source: PED School Budge	t and Financial Analysis Bureau

## **School Board Training**

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points
Ashley Davis		18
David Gallegos		2
Dr Kenneth Reed		10
Johnny Gaskins		10
Matt Coy		0
Richard Don Jones		0
	Source: NM School Boa	ard Association

# **Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	71	76	61	71	81	63	67	62	67
LEA Current	79	79		76			77		
Eunice High	79	79		76			77		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source	e: PED Acc	ountability E	Bureau

### **Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2015**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	75	79	68	74	84	71	72	68	73
LEA Current	70	59		77			64		
Eunice High	70	59		77			64		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

### Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	79	83	76	78	91	75	75	72	76
LEA Current	89	≥ 90		88			≥ 80		
Eunice High	89	≥ 90		88			≥ 80		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

# Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation guides.html.

http://ped.state.hill.us/ped/Gradation_galaes.i				
	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
	%	%	%	%
State Current	<2	16	4	15
LEA Current				
Eunice High				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).	·		Source	e: PED Accountability Bureau

#### **College Going and College Credit Accumulation**

These figures represent students who graduated in 2015 (College Going) and 2013 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

**Enrolled** Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

Students who emoned and earned one year of conege creat within two years of emonment.												
		All		Afr		Amer						
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL		
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Eunice Municipal Schools	Eligible	36	12		23			25				
Eunice Municipal Schools	Enrolled in state	22			14			14				
Eunice Municipal Schools	Credits Earned	13			10							
Eunice High	Eligible	36	12		23			25				
Eunice High	Enrolled in state	22			14			14				

Eunice Municipal Schools Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 2016-2017

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
Eunice High	Credits Earned	13			10					
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).							Source: N	ational S	Student Clea	rinahouse

eacher Credentials			
		Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials		0.3	0.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools	NA	NA
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Poverty Schools	NA	NA

NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty.

Professsional Qualifications	Number of Teachers	Highest D  Bachelor's  %	egree*  Advanced  %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers %
Caton Middle	15	60.0	40.0	0.0
Eunice High	18	72.2	27.8	0.0
Mettie Jordan Elementary	25	60.0	40.0	0.0

\* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th-day submission to PED

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survoy	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Districtwide	474	95	93	93	93	92	90	79	94	92	90
Caton Middle	121	91	79	89	88	93	86	80	91	84	88
Eunice High	22	95	64	73	86	77	73	64	86	91	45
Mettie Jordan Elementary	331	97	100	95	95	92	93	80	95	95	93
				Sou	rce: PED	anonym	ous surv	ey collect	ed from	parents	annually

### **National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation 2015												
Reading %	Math %	Science %										
91	95	95										
93	88	93										
92	95	96										
89	90	92										
	Reading % 91 93 92	Reading Math % 91 95 93 88 92 95										

<sup>\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2015)				h Reading (2015) Math (2015)					Scienc	e (2015)	
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25

8th	Reading (2015)				Reading (2015) Math (2015)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45	
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33	

# Rounds to zero