

New Mexico Public Education Department School District Report Card for 2006-2007 School Year

			_
	NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND	SUMMARY	
EUNICE			
	AYP Rating: Meets AYP		
Imp	rovement Status: Progressing		
		Total Number	Percent
Schools	rated in district	3	100
Schools	in School Improvement	0	0
Schools	in Corrective Action	0	0
Schools	in Restructuring	0	0

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB), Act of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute Article 2C says, "The state shall institute an 'adequate yearly progress program' that measures public schools' improvements..."

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- -- District Student Demographics
- -- No Child Left Behind District Summary
- No Child Left Behind Accountability by Subgroup
- -- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 11th Grade Assessments
- -- School Board Member Participation
- -- Data on District Expenditures
- -- Teacher Quality Data
- -- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

	2006-2007 STUE	DENT DE	MOGRA	PHICS*	
,		EUN	ICE	STATE	WIDE
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female		285	48.8	159155	48.8
Male		299	51.2	167000	51.2
Caucasian	ı	276	3 47.3	99600	30.5
African-An	nerican	6	3 1.0	8523	2.6
Hispanic		299	51.2	178091	54.6
Asian/Paci	fic Islander	(0.0	4246	1.3
American	Indian	3	3 0.5	35679	10.9
English La	nguage Learners	78	3 13.4	60832	18.7
Students v	vith Disabilities	82	2 14.0	48634	14.9
Free/Redu	ced Lunch Program	n 380	65.1	193840	59.4
Migrant		,	0.2	796	0.2

*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

NO CHILD LEFT	BEHIND		2007-08 STATE	E ACCOUNTABILIT	Y DATA (AYP)
School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
CATON MIDDLE	Meets AYP	Progressing	EUNICE HIGH	AYP Not Met	Progressing
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	Meets AYP	Progressing			

NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

	PERCENT O	F STU	DENTS	PROFICI	ENT OR	ABOVE	Ξ	American			
School Name	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	Caucasian	African- American	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific	Indian/ Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
All Students in Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	49	58.3	68.4	***	50.0	***	***	50.0	***	***
All students in Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	42	45.9	52.7	***	40.3	***	***	36.6	23.8	4.4
All Students in Grades 9 & 11	Reading Proficiency	45	31.9	38.5	***	25.0	***	***	29.3	***	***
CATON MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	42	45.9	52.7	***	40.3	***	***	36.6	23.8	4.4
EUNICE HIGH	Reading Proficiency	45	31.9	38.5	***	25.0	***	***	29.3	***	***
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	Reading Proficiency	49	58.3	68.4	***	50.0	***	***	50.0	***	***
All Students in Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	33	37.5	42.1	***	32.3	***	***	36.3	***	***
All students in Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	20	32.8	34.6	***	31.3	***	***	25.6	14.3	<1.0
All Students in Grades 9 & 11	Math Proficiency	27	31.9	43.6	***	18.8	***	***	26.8	***	***
CATON MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	20	32.8	34.6	***	31.3	***	***	25.6	14.3	<1.0
EUNICE HIGH	Math Proficiency	27	31.9	43.6	***	18.8	***	***	26.8	***	***
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	Math Proficiency	33	37.5	42.1	***	32.3	***	***	36.3	***	***
All Students in Grades 3, 4 & 5	Attendance Rate	92	98.1	97.9	***	98.2	***	***	98.0	***	***
All students in Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	95.8	96.0	***	95.7	***	***	95.5	96.8	95.5
CATON MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	95.8	96.0	***	95.6	***	***	95.4	96.7	95.5
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	98.1	97.9	***	98.2	***	***	98.1	***	***
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	84.9	94.1	***	73.3	***	***	88.2	***	***
EUNICE HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	88.1	95.5	***	79.0	***	***	90.0	***	***

ASSESSMENTS

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 3rd through 9th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2006-07 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2007. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3RD GRA	ADE			REAL	DING					MA	THE	MATI	CS		
				Percent a	at Each F	Proficiency	Level				Percent	at Each	Proficien	cy Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	22	5	50	41	5	0	100	22	0	45	55	0	0
	Male	100	21	0	48	10	43	0	100	21	0	52	43	5	0
Ethnicity C	Caucasian	100	21	5	62	14	19	0	100	21	0	57	43	0	0
African-	-American	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	21	0	38	33	29	0	100	21	0	38	57	5	0
Asia	an/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
America	an Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD^2	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
	ELL ³	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	30	0	37	33	30	0	100	30	0	43	53	3	0
	Migrant	1.00	1	***	***	***	***	0	1.00	1	***	***	***	***	0
All Students	2006-07	100	43	2	49	26	23	0	100	43	0	49	49	2	0
	2005-06	100	48	0	44	46	10	0	100	48	2	27	65	6	0
NM State	2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	0	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	0
	2005-06	99	24,090	6	48	30	15	0	99	24,105	4	41	47	8	0

4TH GF	RADE			REA	DINC	3				N	IATH	EMA	TICS		
			F	Percent at	Each Pi	roficiency	Level				Percer	nt at Eacl	n Proficie	ncy Leve	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	22	5	45	41	9	0	100	22	5	14	64	18	0
	Male	100	25	4	36	52	8	0	100	25	4	32	56	8	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	19	5	47	42	5	0	100	19	5	26	58	11	0
Afric	an-American	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	27	4	37	48	11	0	100	27	4	22	59	15	0
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Ame	erican Indian1	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD^2	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***
Olalao	ELL ³	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	31	3	39	45	13	0	100	31	6	23	58	13	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Studer	nts 2006-07	100	47	4	40	47	9	0	100	47	4	23	60	13	0
	2005-06	100	45	7	49	33	11	0	100	45	2	33	58	7	0
NM State	2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	0	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	0
	2005-06	99	23,816	11	43	35	11	0	99	23,833	9	33	48	10	0

¹ includes Alaskan Natives ² SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ ELL - English Language Learners

5TH GRA	ADE			REA	DIN	G					MATI	HEM	ATIC	S	
				Percen	t at Each	Proficien	cy Level			Р	ercent at	Each Pi	oficiency	Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	20	20	65	15	0	0	100	20	5	35	60	0	0
	Male	100	26	0	69	19	12	0	100	25	0	36	44	20	0
Ethnicity (Caucasian	100	24	13	67	8	13	0	100	23	0	43	39	17	0
	-American	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	22	5	68	27	0	0	100	22	5	27	64	5	0
As	ian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Americ	an Indian1	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD ²	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***
Otatao	ELL ³	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	31	6	65	19	10	0	100	31	3	29	52	16	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2006-07	100	46	9	67	17	7	0	100	45	2	36	51	11	0
	2005-06	100	45	9	49	38	4	0	100	45	4	24	58	13	0
NM State	2006-07	99	23,998	14	45	32	9	0	99	24,013	7	29	51	12	0
	2005-06	99	24,194	15	43	33	9	0	99	24,199	6	28	50	15	0

6TH GF	RADE			REA	DIN	G						MATH	EM	ATIC	S	
				Percen	t at Each	Proficien	cy Level				F	Percent at I	Each P	roficiency	y Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid		cent ipating	Number Tested	Advanced F	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	22	0	50	45	5	0	10	00	22	9	18	55	18	0
	Male	100	28	0	25	50	25	0	10	00	28	0	25	43	32	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	23	0	39	48	13	0	10	00	23	9	26	48	17	0
Afr	rican-American	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	0	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	26	0	35	46	19	0	10	00	26	0	19	46	35	0
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	0	***	***	***	***	***
An	nerican Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	1	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD ²	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	8	***	***	***	***	***
	ELL ³	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	8	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	38	0	29	55	16	0	10	00	38	3	16	53	29	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	*	**	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Studer	nts ₂₀₀₆₋₀₇	100	50	0	36	48	16	0	10	00	50	4	22	48	26	0
	2005-06	100	49	2	39	51	8	0	10	00	49	0	29	59	12	0
NM State	2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	0	g	9	24,119	5	22	52	21	0
	2005-06	99	24,598	5	36	49	11	0	9	97	24,608	4	20	51	23	3

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives

² - SWD - Students with Disabilities

³ - ELL - English Language Learners

7TH GI	RADE			RE	ADIN	IG					MAT	HEM	ATIC	S	
			F	Percent at	Each Pi	oficiency l	_evel				Percent	at Each F	Proficienc	y Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	30	7	40	50	3	0	100	30	3	23	50	23	0
	Male	100	22	0	73	23	5	0	100	22	0	45	41	14	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	25	4	60	32	4	0	100	25	4	28	56	12	0
	African-American	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	26	4	46	46	4	0	100	26	0	38	35	27	0
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
	American Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
	ELL ³	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	33	3	45	45	6	0	100	33	0	27	52	21	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Studer	nts 2006-07	100	52	4	54	38	4	0	100	52	2	33	46	19	0
	2005-06	100	46	4	41	35	20	0	100	46	0	26	46	28	0
NM State	2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	1	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	1
	2005-06	99	25,165	6	45	39	11	1	97	25,180	3	20	47	27	3

8TH GR	ADE			READ	ING						MAT	HEM	ATICS	S	
			ı	Percent at	t Each F	roficienc	y Level				Percent a	at Each F	roficiency	/ Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	20	5	55	40	0	0	100	20	0	50	40	10	0
	Male	100	23	0	22	35	43	0	100	23	0	17	30	52	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	17	6	41	53	0	0	100	17	0	35	41	24	0
Afri	can-American	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	26	0	35	27	38	0	100	26	0	31	31	38	0
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Ame	erican Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD^2	100	10	0	0	10	90	0	100	10	0	0	20	80	0
	ELL ³	100	12	0	8	17	75	0	100	12	0	0	33	67	0
	FRLP	100	31	3	29	42	26	0	100	31	0	29	35	35	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Student	s 2006-07	100	43	2	37	37	23	0	100	43	0	33	35	33	0
	2005-06	100	44	0	50	43	7	0	100	44	5	14	64	18	0
NM State	2006-07	99	25,103	3	53	34	10	1	99	25,102	5	25	50	19	1
	2005-06	99	25,652	3	48	38	10	1	98	25,659	4	22	48	24	2

6

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities

³ - ELL - English Language Learners

9TH GR	ADE			RE	ADIN	IG						MATH	IEMA	TICS		
				Percent a	at Each F	Proficienc	y Level					Percent at	Each Pi	oficiency	Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	20	0	35	40	25	0	Г	100	20	5	20	70	5	0
	Male	96	25	4	32	16	44	4		96	25	12	40	40	4	4
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	26	4	38	19	38	0		100	26	12	42	46	0	0
Afri	can-American	***	2	***	***	***	***	***		***	2	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	94	17	0	29	41	24	6		94	17	6	18	59	12	6
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***		***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am	erican Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***		***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***		***	6	***	***	***	***	***
	ELL ³	***	1	***	***	***	***	***		***	1	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	96	26	4	23	31	38	4		96	26	8	19	65	4	4
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***		***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2006-07	98	45	2	33	27	36	2		98	45	9	31	53	4	2
	2005-06	98	49	2	49	31	16	2		98	49	2	29	51	16	2
NM State	2006-07	98	27,588	2	42	29	25	2		98	27,594	7	30	48	13	3
	2005-06	97	28,060	1	42	29	25	3		98	28,040	5	28	48	17	2

11TH G	RADE			RE	EADI	NG					<u>MATH</u>	IEM	<u>ATIC</u>	S	
				Percent a	at Each P	roficiency	Level			Pe	rcent at E	ach Pro	oficiency	Level	
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced I	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	93	15	0	20	53	20	7	100	15	0	27	40	33	0
	Male	100	19	0	37	42	21	0	100	19	5	16	53	26	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	17	0	41	53	6	0	100	17	6	29	35	29	0
Afric	can-American	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	94	17	0	18	41	35	6	100	17	0	12	59	29	0
	Asian/Pacific	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Ame	erican Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Status	SWD ²	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
	ELL ³	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
	FRLP	100	17	0	29	53	18	0	100	17	0	24	53	24	0
	Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
All Student	s 2006-07	97	34	0	29	47	21	3	100	34	3	21	47	29	0
	2005-06	100	46	9	46	39	7	0	98	46	0	15	61	22	2
NM State	2006-07	98	19,936	7	41	36	15	2	97	19,939	7	25	44	22	3
	2005-06	98	20,449	13	45	28	13	2	98	20,470	7	24	46	21	5

EUNICE

 ⁻ includes Alaskan Natives
 - SWD - Students with Disabilities
 - ELL - English Language Learners

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Anderson, Bill	17
Dean, Heath	7
Gallegos, David	10
Gladden, Don	6
Jones, Don	14

Source: 2006-07 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

* In addition to the training required by the NM State Board Association, many school board members attend additional training. The information provided here does not reflect other training that school board members have received.

Data on District Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2006-2007

	Expenditure	Percent	
Direct Instruction	2,677,888		63
Instructional Support Services	1,568,243		37
Students	234,555	15	
Instruction	74,746	5	
General Administration	143,266	9	
School Administration	195,803	12	
Central Services	132,179	8	
Operations & Maintenance	787,693	50	
Student Transportation	0	0	
Non-Instructional Support	0		0
Food Services	0	0	
Community Services	0	0	
Capital Outlay	0		0
Total Expeditures	4,246,131		

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 0.34% DISTRICT: 0.00%

Emergency or provisional Credentials.

Core Classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers.

7.8%

11.0%

Percent of Teachers High Poverty Schools 0.72%
Percent of Teachers Low Poverty Schools 0.15%

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*				
STATE WIDE	26,477	55.3%	41.6%	5.9%				
District Wide	57	71.9	28.1	12.0				
CATON MIDDLE	16	75.0	25.0	25.0				
EUNICE HIGH	18	72.2	27.8	5.6				
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	23	69.6	30.4	7.1				

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2007

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number	SA	=Strongly	Agree A	=Agree	D=Disagr	ee SD=	Strongly	Disagree	K=D	o not kı	now (O=No Op	oinion
	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	23924	48228	5258	1878	4484	4384	27.1	54.7	6.0	2.1	5.1	5.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	21724	45468	9448	3634	3769	4113	24.6	51.6	10.7	4.1	4.3	4.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	27778	46298	4966	1536	3079	4499	31.5	52.5	5.6	1.7	3.5	5.1
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	27277	44349	7468	2342	2266	4454	30.9	50.3	8.5	2.7	2.6	5.1
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	21917	43974	5864	2010	9868	4523	24.9	49.9	6.7	2.3	11.2	5.1
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	22892	46794	6657	2672	4727	4414	26.0	53.1	7.6	3.0	5.4	5.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	17866	41231	11719	4136	7591	5613	20.3	46.8	13.3	4.7	8.6	6.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	35060	40813	5164	1860	1378	3881	39.8	46.3	5.9	2.1	1.6	4.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	23318	46242	5727	1787	6090	4992	26.5	52.5	6.5	2.0	6.9	5.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	29645	45292	5839	1496	1389	4495	33.6	51.4	6.6	1.7	1.6	5.1
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	55	62	3	3	0	0	44.7	50.4	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	32	77	8	5	1	0	26.0	62.6	6.5	4.1	8.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	51	62	6	2	0	2	41.5	50.4	4.9	1.6	0.0	1.6
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	51	66	4	1	0	1	41.5	53.7	3.3	8.0	0.0	8.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	57	58	2	3	2	1	46.3	47.2	1.6	2.4	1.6	8.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	51	61	5	5	1	0	41.5	49.6	4.1	4.1	8.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	39	58	15	6	2	3	31.7	47.2	12.2	4.9	1.6	2.4
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	63	52	7	1	0	0	51.2	42.3	5.7	8.0	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	51	64	2	2	3	1	41.5	52.0	1.6	1.6	2.4	8.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	48	63	10	2	0	0	39.0	51.2	8.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	1	22	16	1	0	0	0	56.4	41.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	2	11	22	3	3	0	0	28.2	56.4	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	3	21	16	1	0	0	1	53.8	41.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.6
CATON MIDDLE	4	20	18	1	0	0	0	51.3	46.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	5	28	11	0	0	0	0	71.8	28.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	6	20	19	0	0	0	0	51.3	48.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	7	17	13	6	2	0	1	43.6	33.3	15.4	5.1	0.0	2.6

EUNICE Page 10 District Report Card 2006-2007

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2007

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number	SA	=Strongly	Agree A	=Agree	D=Disagr	ee SD:	=Strongly	Disagree	K=E	o not k	now (O=No O	oinion
	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
CATON MIDDLE	8	25	13	•	1 0	0	0	64.1	33.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	9	23	14	2	2 0	0	0	59.0	35.9	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
CATON MIDDLE	10	16	17	į	5 1	0	0	41.0	43.6	12.8	2.6	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	1	9	21	•	1 3	0	0	26.5	61.8	2.9	8.8	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	2	7	22	3	3 2	0	0	20.6	64.7	8.8	5.9	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	3	8	20	4	4 2	0	0	23.5	58.8	11.8	5.9	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	4	6	25	2	2 1	0	0	17.6	73.5	5.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	5	9	21	•	1 3	0	0	26.5	61.8	2.9	8.8	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	6	6	20	3	3 4	1	0	17.6	58.8	8.8	11.8	2.9	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	7	7	18	Ę	5 3	1	0	20.6	52.9	14.7	8.8	2.9	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	8	9	18	6	5 1	0	0	26.5	52.9	17.6	2.9	0.0	0.0
EUNICE HIGH	9	8	22	(2	1	1	23.5	64.7	0.0	5.9	2.9	2.9
EUNICE HIGH	10	11	22	•	1 0	0	0	32.4	64.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	1	24	25		1 0	0	0	48.0	50.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	2	14	33	2	2 0	1	0	28.0	66.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	3	22	26		1 0	0	1	44.0	52.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	4	25	23		1 0	0	1	50.0	46.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	5	20	26		1 0	2	1	40.0	52.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	2.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	6	25	22	2	2 1	0	0	50.0	44.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	7	15	27	4	1 1	1	2	30.0	54.0	8.0	2.0	2.0	4.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	8	29	21	(0	0	0	58.0	42.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	9	20	28	(0	2	0	40.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
METTIE JORDAN ELEM	10	21	24	4	1 1	0	0	42.0	48.0	8.0	2.0	0.0	0.0

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

- ELL English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.
- Migrant Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.
- FRLP Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.
- SWD- Students with Disabilities.

No Child Left Behind District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop
 a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by
 law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the ADS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2006-07 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2006-07, highly qualified teacher report generated on 5/16/2008.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. NCLB requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).

EUNICE Page 12 District Report Card 2006-2007