Printed 6/29/2011

EUNICE MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary EUNICE MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS AYP Rating Not Met Improvement Status Progressing Schools rated in the district Schools in Improvement 3 Schools in Corrective Action Schools in Restructuring 0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2010-2011, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2009-2010 school year.

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP? Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (ED), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- AYP Summary
- Student Demographics
- AYP by Schools
- AYP by Subgroups
- Expenditures
- School Board Member Training
- Assessment Proficiency by Subgroup for Grades 3-8, and 11

- Graduation
- Teacher Quality
- Quality of Education Survey (Parent Survey)
- NAEP Assessment Summary for Grades 4 and 8

Definitions and Abbreviations

- Am Indian: American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
- Afr Amer: African American
- ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years
- ED: (FRL) qualifies the student as Economically Disadvantaged
- SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted
- High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)
- Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)

Improvement Status refers to school or district augmentations that are mandated by AYP. Each successive designation carries greater requirements for school or district monitoring, parent choice, and educational enhancement:

- SI-1 = School Improvement 1
- SI-2 = School Improvement 2
- CA = Corrective Action
- R-1 = Restructuring 1
- R-2 = Restructuring 2

(Delay = made AYP the first of two years required to leave the designation and to return to Progressing)

Student D	emographics				
	EUNICE MUNICIF	PAL SCH	OOLS	Statewic	de
		N	%	N	%
All Students		595	100	334,324	100
Female		279	46.9	163,140	48.8
Male		316	53.1	171,184	51.2
Caucasian		280	47.1	85,417	25.5
Afr Am		5	0.8	7,026	2.1
Hispanic		310	52.1	200,137	59.9
Asian		0	0.0	4,158	1.2
Am Indian		0	0.0	34,907	10.4
ELL		29	4.9	47,677	14.3
SWD		84	0.1	44,235	0.1
ED		357	60.0	219,111	65.5
Migrant		0	0.0	538	0.0
Recently Arri	ved *	6	1.0	6	1.0

Source: District's 40th day submission to the NM Public Education Department (PED) * ELL Students new to the U.S. who qualified for exeption from Reading assessment

Page 1

Adequate Yearly Progress (A'	YP) for Scho	ols within EU	NIC	E MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS		
School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status		School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status
Caton Middle	Not Met	SI-2		Eunice High	Not Met	SI-2
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Not Met	SI-2				

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by ***).

		<u> </u>		^						E1.	SIMP	Migrant
	Academic	Goal	All Students	Cauc.	Afr Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Am Indian	ED	ELL		
	Indicator	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Eunice Municipal Schools	Reading Proficiency*	64	45	53	***	38	***	***	42	21	7	***
Caton Middle	Reading Proficiency*	61	48	49	***	46	***	***	46	***	***	***
Eunice High	Reading Proficiency*	64	24	38	***	12	***	***	24	***	< 2	***
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Reading Proficiency*	67	47	60	***	36	***	***	41	24	***	***
Eunice Municipal Schools	Reading Participation	64	100	100		100			100			***
Caton Middle	Reading Participation	61	100	100		100	-		100			***
Eunice High	Reading Participation	64					-					
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Reading Participation	67	100	100		100			100			***
Eunice Municipal Schools	Math Proficiency*	52	30	36	***	25	***	***	27	7	7	***
Caton Middle	Math Proficiency*	48	32	38	***	28	***	***	28	***	***	***
Eunice High	Math Proficiency*	53	14	23	***	6	***	***	6	***	< 2	***
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Math Proficiency*	57	32	38	***	27	***	***	30	6	***	***
Eunice Municipal Schools	Math Participation	52	99	99		100			100			***
Caton Middle	Math Participation	48	100	100		100			100			***
Eunice High	Math Participation	53										
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Math Participation	57	99	98		100			100			***
Eunice Municipal Schools	Attendance Rate	92	97	97	94	97	***	100	97	98	97	***
Caton Middle	Attendance Rate	92										
Eunice High	Attendance Rate	92					-					
Mettie Jordan Elementary	Attendance Rate	92										
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	63	78	74	***	80	***	***	***	***	***	***
Eunice High	Graduation Rate	63	78	74	***	80	***	***	***	***	***	***

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability

^{* %} of students scoring Proficient or Above; Full Academic Year only

^{***} Too few students to report Blanks = no data available

Proficiencies for Subgro	ups within EUNICE I	MUNIC	CIPAL SC	HOOI	_S									
	Academic Indicator	Goal	All Students %	Cauc.	Afr Amer %	Hispanic	Asian	Am Indian %	ED %	ELL %	SWD	Migrant %		
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5 Reading Proficiency* 64 47 62 *** 35 *** *** 41 26 17 ***														
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5 Reading Proficiency* 64 47 62 *** 35 *** 41 26 17 *** District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8 Reading Proficiency* 64 50 54 *** 46 *** 49 *** ***														
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency*	64	27	40	***	17	***	***	26	***	0	***		
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency*	52	33	38	***	29	***	***	31	10	17	***		
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency*	52	33	40	***	27	***	***	29	***	***	***		
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency*	52	18	27	***	11	***	***	10	***	0	***		

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability

^{***} Too few students to report Blanks = No Data Available

Expenditures		
	Expenditure (\$)	%
Direct Instruction	2,561,015	61
Instructional Support	1,573,567	38
Students	265,303	6
Instruction	74,088	1
General Administration	153,428	3
School Administration	224,679	5
Central Services	156,901	3
Operations Maintenance	699,168	16
Student Transportation	0	<1
Other	0	<1
Non-Instructional Support	0	<1
Food Services	0	<1
Community Services	0	<1
Capital Outlay	0	<1
Total	4.134.582	100

School Board Training	
Board Member	Number of Points*
David Gallegos	6
Heath Dean	6
Richard Don Jones	7
Shelly Robinson	7
Steve Almager	0

Source: New Mexico School Board Association
* Board members must accumulate five points during
the year by attending specific training; does not reflect
additional training that board members may have

received.

State Assessment Results (district results exclude charter schools)

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternate Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2010. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

Proficience	cies	for Su	ıbgro	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	IPA	L SC	CHO	ols								
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	P	-	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Participatin	-	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level			% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
3rd Grade	Not Valid Beginning Step Nearing Prof Proficient Advanced articipating (%)								Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	23	4	70	13	13	0	96	23	4	39	52	0	0	100	23	4	78	17	0	0
Male	100	19	0	47	26	26	0	100	19	0	32	68	0	0	100	19	0	79	21	0	0
Caucasian	100	24	4	71	12	12	0	96	24	4	46	46	0	0	100	24	4	83	12	0	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***

^{* %} of students scoring Proficient or Above; Full Academic Year only

Source: PED Budget Office; general fund operational expenditures only

Proficienc	cies	for Su	bgro	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	IPA	L SC	HO	OLS								
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	Р	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	-	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level		_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
3rd Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	articipating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Hispanic	100	18	0	44	28	28	0	100	18	0	22	78	0	0	100	18	0	72	28	0	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	26	4	42	27	27	0	100	26	4	35	62	0	0	100	26	4	69	27	0	0
SWD	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	42	2	60	19	19	0	98	42	2	36	60	0	0	100	42	2	79	19	0	0
ALL2008-09	100	36	2	50	30	16	0	100	36	0	38	55	5	0	100	36	2	80	16	0	0
NM2009-10	100	25,674	8	49	27	16	0	100	25,677	10	48	38	4	0	100	25,663	10	73	16	1	0
NM2008-09	99	25,222	9	51	23	14	0	99	25,229	8	45	41	3	0	99	25,214	11	70	16	0	0

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	CIPA	L SC	HO	OLS								
			RE/	ADIN	G			_		M	ΑТН						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	Ь	-	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	-	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
4th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	20	5	30	50	15	0	100	20	0	20	60	20	0	100	20	0	35	65	0	0
Male	100	13	15	31	38	15	0	100	13	8	38	54	0	0	100	13	0	62	38	0	0
Caucasian	100	12	8	25	42	25	0	100	12	8	0	75	17	0	100	12	0	33	67	0	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	21	10	33	48	10	0	100	21	0	43	48	10	0	100	21	0	52	48	0	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	26	8	35	38	19	0	100	26	0	31	54	15	0	100	26	0	42	58	0	0
SWD	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
NM2009-10	100	25,267	9	43	35	14	0	100	25,278	9	36	47	7	0	100	25,263	2	48	46	4	0
ALL2009-10	100	33	9	30	45	15	0	100	33	3	27	58	12	0	100	33	0	45	55	0	0
NM2008-09	99	25,138	10	41	32	14	0	99	25,140	8	33	50	7	0	99	25,121	1	50	44	3	0
ALL2008-09	100	44	13	34	27	25	0	100	44	0	31	61	6	0	100	44	0	47	52	0	0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; All students tested, not limited to Full Academic Year Blanks = no data available; *** Too few students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgre	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	IPA	L SC	CHO	OLS								
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	АТН						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	Ъ	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
5th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	25	4	36	44	16	0	100	25	0	32	60	8	0	100	25	0	48	48	4	0
Male	100	18	0	33	50	17	0	100	18	0	28	61	11	0	100	18	0	50	50	0	0
Caucasian	100	16	6	56	31	6	0	100	16	0	44	50	6	0	100	16	0	69	31	0	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	27	0	22	56	22	0	100	27	0	22	67	11	0	100	27	0	37	59	4	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	31	3	32	48	16	0	100	31	0	26	65	10	0	100	31	0	48	48	3	0
SWD	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	43	2	35	47	16	0	100	43	0	30	60	9	0	100	43	0	49	49	2	0
ALL2008-09	100	43	4	39	51	4	0	100	43	0	20	62	16	0	100	43	0	46	51	2	0
NM2009-10	100	25,322	14	45	33	8	0	100	25,345	11	34	45	9	0	100	25,332	4	48	43	4	0
NM2008-09	99	24,494	13	44	33	8	0	99	24,495	9	33	46	9	0	99	24,478	6	46	42	5	0

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgr	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	CIPA	L SC	HO	OLS								
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ΑТН						SCI	ENC	Ε		
	Р	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level
6th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	20	5	45	50	0	0	100	20	5	20	75	0	0	100	20	0	40	55	5	0
Male	100	20	0	35	60	5	0	100	20	0	25	65	10	0	100	20	0	25	70	5	0
Caucasian	100	22	5	36	55	5	0	100	22	5	23	68	5	0	100	22	0	45	45	9	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	18	0	44	56	0	0	100	18	0	22	72	6	0	100	18	0	17	83	0	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	27	0	44	52	4	0	100	27	0	19	78	4	0	100	27	0	22	70	7	0
SWD	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	40	2	40	55	2	0	100	40	2	22	70	5	0	100	40	0	32	62	5	0
ALL2008-09	100	49	4	36	51	8	0	100	49	0	14	69	16	0	100	49	0	28	63	8	0
NM2009-10	100	24,278	4	35	48	12	0	100	24,276	8	27	55	10	0	100	24,274	2	28	57	12	0
NM2008-09	99	24,097	5	38	44	10	0	99	24,101	6	26	54	10	0	99	24,092	2	31	53	11	0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; All students tested, not limited to Full Academic Year Blanks = no data available; *** Too few students to report

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgre	oups	witl	hin	EUN	IICE	MUNIC	IPA	L SC	HO	OLS								
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	АТН						SCI	ENC	Е		
	Ъ	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	ciency	Level	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
7th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	19	0	21	68	11	0	100	19	0	16	58	26	0	100	19	5	11	63	21	0
Male	100	28	0	43	54	4	0	100	28	7	29	50	14	0	100	28	0	32	54	14	0
Caucasian	100	21	0	38	52	10	0	100	21	5	33	43	19	0	100	21	5	33	48	14	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	26	0	31	65	4	0	100	26	4	15	62	19	0	100	26	0	15	65	19	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	35	0	37	57	6	0	100	35	6	26	54	14	0	100	35	3	23	57	17	0
SWD	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	47	0	34	60	6	0	100	47	4	23	53	19	0	100	47	2	23	57	17	0
ALL2008-09	100	50	4	36	54	6	0	100	50	6	30	56	8	0	100	50	2	36	56	6	0
NM2009-10	100	24,156	8	42	40	10	0	100	24,159	9	24	50	16	0	100	24,152	3	36	49	10	0
NM2008-09	99	23,696	9	43	37	8	0	99	23,697	8	23	52	15	0	99	23,687	2	33	50	12	0

Proficien	cies	for Su	bgro	oups	witl	hin	EUI	NICE	MUNI	CIPA	L S	СНО	OLS	}							
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	P	_	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	_	% a	Each	Profic	iency	Level	Р	7	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
8th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	21	5	67	24	5	0	100	21	5	43	48	5	0	100	21	0	24	62	14	0
Male	100	33	3	64	30	3	0	100	33	3	39	55	3	0	100	33	3	24	70	3	0
Caucasian	100	24	8	71	12	8	0	100	24	8	46	42	4	0	100	24	4	38	50	8	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	30	0	60	40	0	0	100	30	0	37	60	3	0	100	30	0	13	80	7	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	30	3	63	33	0	0	100	30	3	33	60	3	0	100	30	3	20	70	7	0
SWD	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	54	4	65	28	4	0	100	54	4	41	52	4	0	100	54	2	24	67	7	0
ALL2008-09	100	45	8	48	37	4	0	100	45	8	26	57	6	0	100	45	0	28	60	11	0
NM2009-10	100	23,689	6	54	30	9	0	100	23,693	8	32	53	7	0	99	23,688	1	28	58	13	1
NM2008-09	99	23,969	8	53	28	8	0	99	23,965	7	35	49	7	0	99	23,959	0	26	57	14	0

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability; All students tested, not limited to Full Academic Year Blanks = no data available; *** Too few students to report

Proficien	roficiencies for Subgroups within EUNICE MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS READING MATH SCIENCE																				
			RE/	ADIN	G					M	ATH						SCI	ENC	Е		
	Р	-	% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	P	-	% at	t Each	Profic	iency	Level	D		% a	t Each	Profic	iency	Level
11th Grade	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid	Participating (%)	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Prof	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Female	100	13	8	31	54	8	0	100	13	0	31	15	54	0	100	13	0	23	62	15	0
Male	100	20	0	20	20	60	0	100	20	0	10	40	50	0	100	20	0	5	70	25	0
Caucasian	100	15	7	33	40	20	0	100	15	0	27	27	47	0	100	15	0	20	67	13	0
Afr Am	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	18	0	17	28	56	0	100	18	0	11	33	56	0	100	18	0	6	67	28	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ELL	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***
ED	100	19	0	26	42	32	0	100	19	0	11	32	58	0	100	19	0	11	68	21	0
SWD	100	11	0	0	27	73	0	100	11	0	0	27	73	0	100	11	0	0	64	36	0
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***
ALL2009-10	100	33	3	24	33	39	0	100	33	0	18	30	52	0	100	33	0	12	67	21	0
ALL2008-09	100	41	0	46	41	12	0	100	41	2	26	51	19	0	100	41	0	29	65	4	0
NM2009-10	99	20,199	8	46	34	10	1	99	20,190	10	26	37	25	1	98	20,184	1	32	56	9	2
NM2008-09	98	20,191	5	45	36	10	1	98	20,181	8	25	37	26	1	97	20,178	1	31	56	7	2

Graduation - High Sch	aduation - High School 4-Year Cohort Graduation								UNIC	E	MUNI	CIP.	AL S	СН	OOLS	5						
Group	All		Female		Male		Caucasian		At Amer		Hispanic		Asian		Am Ind		E		SWD		E	1
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	No	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	27058	66	13118	70	13940	62	8554	74	748	61	13869	63	345	80	3542	58	13226	60	5217	65	9549	63
Eunice Municipal Schools	52	78	29	90	23	64	25	74	1	***	25	80	***	***	1	***	21	72	9	***	5	***
Eunice High	52	78	29	90	23	64	25	74	1	***	25	80	***	***	1	***	21	72	9	***	5	***

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2009; all students ever enrolled including part time.

*** Too few students to report

Graduation - High Sch	ool 5-	Yea	ar Co	hoı	rt Gra	du	ation	Εl	JNICE	E M	UNIC	ΙPΑ	L SC	НО	OLS							
Group	All		Female		Male		Caucasiaii		At Amer	•	Hispanic		Asian	•	Am Ind		ELL		SWD		E	ļ
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	No	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	27290	70	13118	74	14020	68	8440	78	744	68	14137	67	340	85	3629	64	13486	65	5530	68	9820	68
Eunice Municipal Schools	52	82	29	90	23	71	26	75	1	***	24	88	***	***	1	***	20	76	10	88	5	***
Eunice High	52	82	29	90	23	71	26	75	1	***	24	88	***	***	1	***	20	76	10	88	5	***

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2008; all students ever enrolled including part time.

*** Too few students to report

Graduation - High School Non-Graduates EUNICE MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS													
Group Certificate Status Unkown Exit Out Still Enrolled													
O. 64.P	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%					
STATEWIDE	473	<2	6094	18	1655	5	1261	4					
Eunice Municipal Schools	0	0	10	19	0	0	0	0					
Eunice High	0	0	10	19	0	0	0	0					

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability. Class of 2009; all students ever enrolled including part time.

Certificate: completed course work but did not pass exit exam; Status Unknown: dropped out or whereabouts unknown; Exit Out: exited with intent to get GED or other vocational credential; Still Enrolled: continuing high school enrollment past 4th year

*** Too few students to report

Teacher Quality, Crede	entials	
	Emergency/Provisional Credentials %	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
Statewide	0	0.5
Eunice Municipal Schools	0	0.0
In Low Poverty Schools	N/A	N/A
In High Poverty Schools	N/A	N/A
Caton Middle	0	0.0
Eunice High	0	0.0
Mettie Jordan Elementary	0	0.0

Source: District's 120th day submission to PED

Blanks = No Data Available

Teacher Quality, Level of Educaiton											
	Number	Highest	Degree								
	of Teachers	Bachelors %	Advanced Degrees %								
Statewide	22428	57	42								
Eunice Municipal Schools	43	65	35								
Caton Middle 12 75 25											
Eunice High	15	67	33								
Mettie Jordan Elementary	21	57	43								

Source: District's 120th day submission to PED

Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments

Quality of Education Survey

Questions:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Statewide Statewide Statewide	N 40167 40192	Agree % 34.9	%	%	Disagree %	Know %	Opinion %
	Statewide		34.9				70	/0
2		40402		52.0	4.8	1.8	3.6	2.9
	Statowida	40192	32.7	50.3	8.3	3.2	3.8	1.8
3	Statewide	40172	35.7	50.6	5.6	2.0	3.8	2.3
4	Statewide	40145	36.0	48.7	7.3	2.6	2.2	3.2
5	Statewide	40169	30.5	48.8	6.3	2.6	9.4	2.4
6	Statewide	40215	31.6	50.3	7.3	3.3	5.0	2.6
7	Statewide	40135	26.2	47.7	11.8	3.4	7.2	3.8
8	Statewide	40160	43.1	45.9	5.3	2.0	1.9	1.8
9	Statewide	40079	33.2	50.1	6.4	2.3	5.6	2.5
10	Statewide	40162	37.5	50.6	6.0	1.8	1.6	2.6
1 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	48.0	47.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
2 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	15.0	43.0	26.0	9.0	6.0	1.0
3 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	34.0	60.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
4 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	48.0	42.0	7.0	1.0	0.0	2.0
5 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	33.0	51.0	9.0	4.0	3.0	0.0
6 I	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	36.0	51.0	9.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
7 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	23.0	56.0	13.0	1.0	4.0	3.0
8 I	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	52.0	43.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
9 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	35.0	54.0	6.0	0.0	4.0	1.0
10 E	Eunice Municipal Schools	100	32.0	56.0	7.0	0.0	2.0	3.0
C	Caton Middle							
E	unice High							
N.	Mettie Jordan Elementary							

Source: NMPED online anonymous parent survey

Blanks = No Data Available

Statewide Results for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2009 reading, mathematics and science.

4th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)			Science (2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)
Caucasian	9	26	36	30	7	40	42	12	1	48	86	14
Af Amer	1	13	36	50	2	18	48	33	#	16	56	44
Hispanic	1	12	32	55	1	16	48	34	0	15	55	45
Asian	12	27	32	29	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	1	9	24	66	#	13	43	43	#	8	44	56
SWD	1	4	12	83	#	9	41	50	#	13	43	57
ELL	#	3	13	84	#	5	36	60	#	2	22	78
ED	1	11	31	57	1	16	46	36	0	15	55	45
New Mexico	4	16	32	48	3	23	46	28	0	24	63	37
Nation	7	24	34	34	6	33	43	19	1	32	71	29
8th		Reading	(2009)			Math (2	009)		:	Science (2009)	
Grade	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)	Advanced (%)	Proficient (%)	Basic² (%)	Below Basic (%)
Caucasian	4	34	46	16	7	32	41	19	2	39	81	19
Af Amer	1	15	40	44	2	11	42	45	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	#	14	44	42	1	10	39	50	0	14	44	56
Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	1	11	38	50	1	9	36	54	0	10	36	64
SWD	#	4	21	75	#	5	17	77	0	9	23	77
ELL	#	1	13	87	#	2	18	80	#	1	13	87
ED	1	14	43	43	1	10	38	50	0	13	43	57
New Mexico	1	20	44	34	3	17	39	41	1	21	55	45

Source: http://nationsreportcard.gov/

Basic² is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

^{***} Too few students to report # Rounds to zero

Statewide Participation in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)											
	Reading (2009) %	Math (2009) %	Science (2009) %								
4th Grade ELL	73	96	94								
4th Grade SWD**	64	85	86								
8th Grade ELL	82	94	91								
8th Grade SWD**	64	78	77								

Source: http://Nationsreportcard.gov/

^{**} NAEP does not accomodate students with severe disabilities; participation is not mandatory