## School Grade Report Card 2012 Certified

## Eunice High

District: Eunice Municipal Schools
Grade Range: 09-12 Code: 32050


The state standard goal for attendance (95\%) can be surpassed by some schools. Also, SAM schools' graduation rates are augmented. These factors result in some schools earning higher than the maximum possible points for Opportunity to Learn.

| Final School Grade | Total Points |  |  | Grade |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total |  |  |  |
| Grades are established at the | 75.0 to 100.0 | A | Points |  |
| 90th percentile and 50th | 65.0 to | 74.9 | B | 53.9 |
| percentile, which represent 75 | 50.0 | to | 64.9 | C |
| and 50 points, respectively. | 35.0 to | 49.9 | D |  |
|  | 0.0 to | 34.9 | F |  |

## Eunice High

These tables divide your school's results into smaller subgroups to show how specific groups of your students are doing. Keep in mind that each student counts in several groups. For example, one student can be counted three times - in the Hispanic, English Language Learner, and Female subgroups. When your grade is calculated each student counts only one time, so these numbers cannot be used to arrive at your school's score or grade. Just the same, this information shows how your school compares to other schools, determines groups within your school that are performing well, and identifies groups that need additional instructional support based on achievement.

## Current Standing


#### Abstract

Knowing how many students are proficient in a given year is a measure of your school's overall success. Even so, single-year performance will vary with differing classes of students. It is not unusual for a school to occasionally have an exceptionally talented or unusually challenging class of students. Therefore, Current Standing uses additional years of data, up to 3 years whenever possible, in order to provide a more accurate picture of your school's achievement.

Current Standing is augmented with Value Added Modeling (VAM) by capturing your school's size, student mobility, and prior students performance. Details of VAM can be found in the PED's School Grading Technical Manual at: http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.asp


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient and Advanced (\%) | 24.7 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 23.8 |  | 26.0 |  |  | 26.2 |  |  |  |
| Proficient and Advanced (Pts) | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added Model (Pts) | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient and Advanced (\%) | 25.8 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 31.0 |  | 22.0 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  |  |
| Proficient and Advanced (Pts) | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added Model (Pts) | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

School Growth compares your students enrolled in the current year to students from prior years. While these are

## School

 Growth different sets of students, the school that is improving will do a better job each year of impacting their achievement. Unlike Current Standing, your school growth accounts for improvement of all students, not just those reaching proficient.For high schools, school growth is divided into two groups of students, higher achieving (highest 75\%, also known as Q3) and lowest achieving (lowest $25 \%$, also known as Q1). In 2013, the PED will have data for student growth in place of school growth for high and low performing groups.

School Growth is augmented with Value Added Modeling (VAM) by capturing your the school's size, student mobility, and prior student performance. Details of VAM can be found in the PED"s School Grading Technical Manual at:
http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.asp

|  | Reading | Math | School Growth is shown in scaled score points, which can range from 0 to 80 for both reading and math. For example, a school that grows an average of +2 scaled score points a year shows that the school is improving their ability to increase student achievement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest 75\% of Students (Scaled Score Points/Yr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Highest 75\% of Students (Points Earned) | 5.2 | 1.1 |  |
| Lowest 25\% of Students (Scaled Score Points/Yr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lowest 25\% of Students (Points Earned) | 3.8 | 5.0 |  |

## Graduation

Your students are expected to graduate in 4 years. However, some students require longer and are captured in 5year and 6-year rates. Similar to School Growth, the expectation is that your school increase the percent of your successful 4-year graduates over time.

SAM schools (Supplemental Accountability Model) are a subset of schools that target returning dropouts or students with disabilities. These schools receive an additional rate that reflects their ability to graduate any student, not just cohort members, in a given year.

Details of the federally approved graduation rules are in the Graduation Technical Manual on the PED website at: http://ped.state.nm.us/Graduation/index.html

|  | All Students | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| Cohort of 2011-4-Year Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Graduating | 77.2 | 87.6 | 71.3 | 86.2 | - | 69.7 | - | - | 64.6 | 80.0 | 71.8 | N/A |
| Points Earned | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort of 2010-5-Year Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Graduating | 85.8 | 87.7 | 84.6 | 86.3 | - | 85.1 | - | - | 84.5 | - | - | N/A |
| Points Earned | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort of 2009-6-Year Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Graduating | 82.3 | 90.8 | 71.4 | 75.0 | - | 88.7 | - | - | 78.0 | - | - | N/A |
| Points Earned | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth in 4-Year Rates | Growth Index (Increase) |  |  | 2.3 |  | SAM Rates |  | SAM Graduation (\%) |  |  | - |  |
| Value Added Modeling takes into account the school's prior 3 years. | Points Earned |  |  | 2.1 |  | This school did not qualify to be a SAM school. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Opportunity
The successful school invites students to be part of a thriving learning culture that uses proven teaching methods. A school's Learn (OTL) learning environment is reflected in a survey of classroom practices (OTL Survey) and in student attendance.

|  | All Students | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | Economically Disadvant | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F |  | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian |  |  |  |  |
| Attendance (Student Average) | 59.1 | 58.1 | 59.9 | 59.1 |  | 59.7 | - | - | 56.4 | 46.4 | - | 61.2 |
| Attendance (Points) | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTL Survey (Average Total Score) | 29.8 | 32.4 | 27.9 | 30.5 | 38.0 | 28.7 | - | - | 29.1 | 31.4 | 36.0 | 30.2 |
| OTL Survey (Points) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| OTL Survey Questions | The answer to each question ranges from 0 (Never) to 5 (Always), with a midpoint score of 2.5. Schools that scored higher demonstrated better classroom teaching practices. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. My teacher introduces a new topic by connecting to things I already know. | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | - | - | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| 2. My teacher explains why what we are learning is important. | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | - | - | 3.1 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
| 3. My teacher explains how learning a new topic is a foundation for other topics. | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.7 | - | - | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 4. Every student gets a chance to answer questions. | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 3.0 | - | - | 3.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| 5. My teacher wants me to explain my answers. | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 3.3 | - | - | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| 6. My teacher knows when I understand, and when I do not. | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | - | - | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| 7. My teacher explains things in different ways so everyone can understand. | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 2.8 | - | - | 2.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| 8. My teacher gives me helpful feedback on work I turn in. | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 2.7 | - | - | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| 9. My teacher checks our understanding. | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | - | - | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| 10. My teacher takes the time to summarize what we learn each day. | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.7 | - | - | 2.7 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.7 |

Color Key: $\square$ 0 or 1, Low
2 or 3, Medium
4 or 5, High
College

| Your high school students are expected to participate in at least one college or career ready program. These |
| :--- | :--- |
| activities include one of the following: |


| Career | 1) College entrance assessments (SAT, PSAT, ACT, PLAN, or Accuplacer) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Readiness | 2) Evidence that the student can pass a college level course (Advanced Placement, Dual Credit, or |
| International Baccalaureate Diploma) |  |
| (CCR) | 3) Eligibility for an industry recognized certification (Career Technical Education) |

Points are given separately for your students' Participation and for their Success. To be considered successful your students must meet established benchmarks. Details are in the School Grading Technical Guide on the PED website at
http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.asp
CCR follows the Shared Accountability model used for cohort graduation rates. Cohorts are fully described in the Graduation Technical Manual on the PED website at
http://ped.state.nm.us/Graduation/index.html

|  |  |  |  |  |  | / Eth | icity |  |  | Students | English | Redesignated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged | with Disabilities | Language Learners | English Proficient |
| Participation (\% of Cohort) | 54.0 | 82.0 | 38.2 | 66.9 | - | 42.7 | - | - | 57.9 | 30.0 | 14.4 |  |
| Participation (Points) | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Success (\% of Participants) | 77.8 | 82.1 | 72.6 | 78.4 | - | 77.7 | - | - | 73.2 | >98.0 | >98.0 |  |
| Success (Points) | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of School's Cohort of 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation ACT | 33.5 | 60.2 | 18.5 | 53.1 | - | 16.7 | - | - | 28.4 | - | - | - |
| in Each PLAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CCR Program SAT | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PSAT | 6.1 | - | 9.5 | 9.0 | - | 3.6 | - | - | 5.2 | - | - | - |
| AccuPlacer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Advanced Placement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dual Credit | 39.4 | 67.3 | 23.7 | 52.4 | - | 28.4 | - | - | 37.2 | 30.0 | 14.4 | - |
| International Baccalaureate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Career Technical Education | 17.1 | 32.4 | 8.5 | 31.0 | - | 4.8 | - | - | 10.3 | - | - | - |


#### Abstract

Bonus While most schools provide a sampling of athletics, club participation opportunities, and parent meetings, a few Points schools stand out among the rest. These schools are recognized for their extraordinary dedication to keeping students invested in school, and their efforts in empowering parents to engage actively in their child's education. Bonus points indicate those schools that have gone above and beyond the others.


This school received bonus points for improvement in habitual truancy rates.
This school received bonus points for exceptional student engagement.

This school received bonus points for sports and activities.

While statewide comparisons are helpful, schools may want to see how they rank next to their peers that have similar

## Similar

Schools students and settings. The figures below show how your school contrasts with other schools in the state that are most like it in student characteristics.

Schools are grouped into categories that have similar proportions of English language learners (ELL), students with disabilities (SWD), ethnicities, economically disadvantaged (ED), and mobile students. Different schools are in each category set. A composite score incorporates all categories into a general measure of at-risk students.

School Rank

| Current Standing | ELL |  | SWD |  | Ethnicity |  | $E D$ |  | Mobility |  | Composite |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ( 26 ) |  | ( 29 ) |  | ( 30 ) | 28 | ( 30 ) |  | ( 2 |  | ( 30 ) |
| School Growth, Highest 75\% |  | ( 26 ) |  | ( 29 ) |  | ( 30 ) | 23 | ( 30 ) |  |  |  | ( 30 ) |
| School Growth, Lowest 25\% |  | ( 26 ) |  | ( 29 ) |  | ( 30 ) | 6 | ( 30 ) | 9 | ( 27 | 8 | ( 30 ) |
| Opportunity to Learn |  | ( 26 ) |  | ( 29 ) |  | ( 30 ) |  | ( 30 ) |  |  |  | ( 30 ) |
| Graduation |  | ( 20 ) |  | ( 26 ) |  | ( 27 ) |  | ( 28 ) | 9 |  |  | ( 28 ) |
| Career College Readiness |  | ( 20 ) |  | ( 24 ) |  | ( 27 ) | 8 | ( 28 ) | 7 | ( 24 |  | ( 28 ) |

The first number shows the school's rank ( $1=$ highest, most points) within their category of similar schools.
The second number in parentheses shows the total number of schools that were ranked in that category.

## School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets, called School Growth Targets (SGTs), guide your school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in 3 years are considered successfully "on track" to proficiency. While this information does not contribute to your school's grade, it is helpful in guiding your school toward identifying and closing any achievement gaps between subgroups.

|  |  | AllStudents | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| Reading Goal for This Year is 52.3\% Proficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient and | Highest 75\% | 46.9 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 37.5 | - | 56.3 | - | - | 50.0 | 0.0 | - | 50.0 |
| On Track (\%) | Lowest 25\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Math Goal for This Year is 45.0\% Proficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient and | Highest 75\% | 39.3 | 41.7 | 37.5 | 50.0 | - | 31.3 | - | - | 21.4 | 0.0 | - | 25.0 |
| On Track (\%) | Lowest 25\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Graduation Goal for This Year is 69.9\% Graduating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Students (\%) | 77.2 | 87.6 | 71.3 | 86.2 | - | 69.7 | - | - | 64.6 | 80.0 | 71.8 | - |



School
History

Student performance over time can demonstrate the success of interventions and school reform. Wherever possible, up to three years worth of information are used for the indicators that lead to your school's grade. For a more detailed history see the NMPED website:
http://www.ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AcademicGrowth/NMSBA.html

|  | All Students | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | Economically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | $\begin{gathered} \text { Am } \\ \text { Indian } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Reading Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012, All Students (\%) | 34.1 | 36.8 | 32.0 | 31.6 |  | 37.5 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  |  |
| 2011, All Students (\%) | 27.9 | 21.7 | 35.0 | 15.0 |  | 39.1 |  |  | 26.1 |  |  |  |
| 2010, All Students (\%) | 27.3 | 38.5 | 20.0 | 40.0 |  | 16.7 |  |  | 26.3 | <2.0 |  |  |
| 2009, All Students (\%) | 46.3 | 52.6 | 40.9 | 50.0 |  | 44.4 |  |  | 35.3 |  |  |  |
| Math Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012, All Students (\%) | 25.0 | 26.3 | 24.0 | 31.6 |  | 20.8 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  |  |
| 2011, All Students (\%) | 16.3 | 4.3 | 30.0 | 10.0 |  | 21.7 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 2010, All Students (\%) | 18.2 | 30.8 | 10.0 | 26.7 |  | 11.1 |  |  | 10.5 | <2.0 | 18.2 |  |
| 2009, All Students (\%) | 29.3 | 26.3 | 31.8 | 40.9 |  | 16.7 |  |  | 11.8 |  | 29.3 |  |

## Student

Promotion
Students that are prepared and progress to a higher grade each year (matriculate) indicate that your school is successfully moving students toward graduation. However, if your school's achievement in Reading and Math is subpar, and yet most students are being promoted, your school may be inattentive to students' need to repeat grade-level instruction before moving on. Student promotion and retention should be viewed within the context of your school's overall achievement. While this information does not contribute to your school's grade, it shows whether schools are preparing students to be ready for success.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners | Redesignated English Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr <br> Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| Grade 9 to Grade 10 (\%) | 94.0 | >98.0 | 89.3 | 95.7 |  | N/A |  |  | 88.5 | 75.0 |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 to Grade 11 (\%) | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | N/A |  |  | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | N/A |
| Grade 11 to Grade 12 (\%) | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 |  | N/A |  |  | >98.0 | >98.0 | >98.0 | N/A |

